

**INEEL WATER INTEGRATION PROJECT MEETING MINUTES**  
**Wednesday, June 12, 2002, ID-N**

**Attendees:**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>E-Mail Address</b>
Jan Brown	INEEL	<a href="mailto:browjm@inel.gov">browjm@inel.gov</a>
Brad Bugger	DOE-ID	<a href="mailto:buggerbp@id.doe.gov">buggerbp@id.doe.gov</a>
Doug Burns	INEEL	<a href="mailto:deb4@inel.gov">deb4@inel.gov</a>
Dave Frederick	INEEL Oversight	<a href="mailto:dfrederi@deq.state.id.us">dfrederi@deq.state.id.us</a>
Kendall Kincaid	DOE-ID	<a href="mailto:kinkaikl@id.doe.gov">kinkaikl@id.doe.gov</a>
Marianne Little	INEEL	<a href="mailto:lit@inel.gov">lit@inel.gov</a>
Marilynne Manguba	INEEL	<a href="mailto:mangma@inel.gov">mangma@inel.gov</a>
Patti Natoni	DOE-ID	<a href="mailto:natonipm@inel.gov">natonipm@inel.gov</a>
Jeff Perry	DOE-ID	<a href="mailto:perryjn@id.doe.gov">perryjn@id.doe.gov</a>
Al Yonk	INEEL	<a href="mailto:yonkak@inel.gov">yonkak@inel.gov</a>

**By Conference Call:**

Tom Anderson	DOE-HQ	<a href="mailto:tom.anderson@em.doe.gov">tom.anderson@em.doe.gov</a>
E.B. Nuckols	DOE-HQ	<a href="mailto:ernest.nuckols@em.doe.gov">ernest.nuckols@em.doe.gov</a>

**Aquifer Background Report**

Doug Burns reported on the aquifer background report, prepared by Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL), which is to be released in a couple of weeks. The report covers the results of analysis of splits of thirteen water samples collected two years ago. The samples had 4 Plutonium detects and 9 Uranium detects using alpha spectrometry. LANL analyzed the samples using mass spectrometry, which has a lower detection limit. Pu was not detected in the 4 samples (at a detection limit of 0.002 picocuries/liter), and 6 of the 9 samples had positive U detects that were determined to be anthropic (as indicated by the ratio of  $U^{238}$  to  $U^{239}$ ), all were collected downgradient from INTEC. The complete report will be posted on the WIP website when it is released.

**CERCLA Monitoring Schedule**

Groundwater sampling scheduled from October, 2001 through September, 2002 to support CERCLA monitoring has been compiled and is posted on the WIP website. E. B. Nuckols was interested in an estimate of the aggregate cost of collecting all of these samples.

**Action Tracking Log**

Paul Wichlacz reported that the next routine meeting of the Expert Panel will not be until November/December and a decision needs to be made whether to convene a separate subcommittee meeting. Paul will check on options and cost and will distribute the existing expert panel procedures to the group for review.

The Revised Integration Figure should be available for the June 19<sup>th</sup> meeting.

The DOE-ID briefing on the science strategy development is scheduled for June 17<sup>th</sup> and a briefing on the stakeholder involvement plan is scheduled for July 2<sup>nd</sup>.

Jan Brown is working on revising the plan to reflect information from the public participation training held on June 10<sup>th</sup> and 11<sup>th</sup>, particularly on characterizing expectations for public involvement activities (i.e., at what level will the public be participating). Jan and Brad Bugger will provide a briefing on the

International Association for Public Participation (IAP2) training at the next meeting. The High-Level Waste Program Support group is interesting in providing the IAP2 training to some of their personnel.

### **30-Day Look Ahead**

Stakeholder Communication Activities:

Karen Hsu is preparing the Third Quarter Demographics Summary, which will be incorporated into the final stakeholder involvement plan and presented at the June 26<sup>th</sup> meeting. A presentation to the Hailey Rotary Club is scheduled for June 13<sup>th</sup> and the St. Anthony Rotary for early July. Jan provided a summary of the feedback provided on the evaluation forms from the Boise meeting on June 5<sup>th</sup>. Feedback to date will be added to the Stakeholder Involvement Plan.

The rest of the items on the 30-day Look Ahead are proceeding well and on schedule.

### **Other**

Jeff Perry asked for suggestions on specific topics that should be covered at the mid-year review. Some items included development of the Electronic Library, stakeholder activities, successes integrating work among groups at the lab, incorporation of lessons learned from the Hanford project, and examples of how the project has helped meet or exceed schedule.

Next Meeting: June 19th